

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/763,577 01/22/2004 | | Clark Robison | WEAT/0340 | 4891 | |
| 7590 05/16/2007 WILLIAM B. PATTERSON MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P. Suite 1500 3040 Post Oak Blvd. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | YACOB, SISAY | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Houston, TX 7 | | | 2612 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/16/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Advisory Action Eefore the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------|--|
| 10/763,577 | ROBISON ET AL. | ON ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Sisay Yacob | 2612 | | |
| | | | |

| | | |] ==;:= | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| this pla (3) | e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compowing time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | |
| a) 🛛 | The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) 🗌 | event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) |). | | | | | |
| been filed CFR 1.17(above, if c earned pa | s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states. Any reply received by the Office later than three month tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | |
| 2. The | e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ecces a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | | |
| 3. 🔯 Tr | ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | ef. will not be entered | because | | | |
| (a) (b) | ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NC w); | OTE below); | | | | |
| (d) | They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ejected claims. | | | | |
| | e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendment | : (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 6. 🔲 N | oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | · | e, timely filed amendm | nent canceling | | | |
| 7. 🛛 Fo | non-allowable claim(s). r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| | withe new or amended claims would be rejected is pro e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | vided below or appended. | | | | | |
| | im(s) allowed: | · | | | | | |
| | nim(s) objected to: nim(s) rejected: <u>18-21,23 and 26-33</u> . | | | | | | |
| | im(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-17,22,24,25 ar | nd 34. | | | | | |
| | /IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| bed | e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| ent sho | e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a | | | |
| | ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | |
| 11. 🔲 TI | ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu | at does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | ince because; . | | | |
| | ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ther: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

a) Applicant's amendement to add further limitations "wherein the signal causes rotation of an actuating member of the controller" and "displaying an image representing the rotation of the actuating member on the touch screen, wherein the image comprises an indicator bar." would require further consideration and search.

JEFFERY HOFSASS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE